Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/007,383	YANG, HONG KUI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew C. Sams	2643
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Matthew C. Sams.	(3)	
(2) <u>Ting-Mao Chao</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 November 2005	Time: <u>6:00 PM EST</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 2 & 13 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: A typo existed in claims 2 & 13, the word "multipled" was changed to "added to" in order to correlate with the specification and related equations.		
Part III.		
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Math Seo		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)